

ENTERED

July 21, 2022

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

AARON GUERRA, §
§
Petitioner, §
§
VS. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00121
§
BOBBY LUMPKIN, §
§
Respondent. §

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

On June 13, 2022, United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation” (M&R, D.E. 4) recommending dismissal after an initial screening. Petitioner was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s M&R. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s M&R is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s M&R. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s M&R (D.E. 4), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the

Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, Guerra's § 2241 petition (D.E. 1) is **DISMISSED** pursuant to the screening provisions in Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254.

ORDERED on July 21, 2022.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE